Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Atmospheric Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/atmosenv

Responses of future air quality to emission controls over North Carolina, Part II: Analyses of future-year predictions and their policy implications

Yang Zhang ^{a,*}, Xiao-Huan Liu ^{a,b}, Kristen M. Olsen ^a, Wen-Xing Wang ^b, Bebhinn A. Do ^c, George M. Bridgers ^c

^a Department of Marine, Earth, and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA ^b Environment Research Institute, Shandong University, 27 Shanda Nanlu, Jinan, Shandong Province, PR China 250100 ^c Division of Air Quality, North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Raleigh, NC 27699, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 December 2009 Received in revised form 17 March 2010 Accepted 17 March 2010

Keywords: MM5/CMAQ Impact of emissions on future air quality Air quality standard attainment Sensitivity to horizontal grid resolution

ABSTRACT

The MM5/CMAQ system evaluated in Part I paper is applied to study the impact of emission control on future air quality over North Carolina (NC). Simulations are conducted at a 4-km horizontal grid resolution for four one-month periods, i.e., January, June, July, and August 2009 and 2018. Simulated PM_{2.5} in 2009 and 2018 show distribution patterns similar to those in 2002. PM_{2.5} concentrations over the whole domain in January and July reduced by 5.8% and 23.3% in 2009 and 12.0% and 35.6% in 2018, respectively, indicating that the planned emission control strategy has noticeable effects on PM_{2.5} reduction in this region, particularly in summer. More than 10% and 20% of 1-h and 8-h O₃ mixing ratios are reduced in July 2009 and 2018, respectively, demonstrating the effectiveness of emission control for O₃ reduction in summer. However, O₃ mixing ratios in January 2009 and 2018 increase by more than 5% because O₃ chemistry is VOC-limited in winter and the effect of NO_x reduction dominates over that of VOC reduction under such a condition. The projected emission control simulated at 4-km will reduce the number of sites in non-attainment for max 8-h O₃ from 49 to 23 in 2009 and 2016 PM_{2.5} standards. The variability in model predictions at different grid resolutions contributes to 1–3.8 ppb and 1–7.9 µg m⁻³ differences in the projected future-year design values for max 8-h O₃ and 24-h average PM_{2.5}, respectively.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Air quality attainment for future-years posts significant challenges in emission control technologies, regulation revision and enforcement, as well as decision tool development and application. CMAQ is one of the decision tools for regulatory applications developed by the U.S. EPA. Several global and regional models including MM5/CMAQ have recently been applied to simulate future air quality and their responses to future climate changes and/ or emission changes either as a result of changing climate (e.g., biogenic emissions) or as part of the emission control programs (e.g., anthropogenic emissions) (e.g., Hogrefe et al., 2004; Liao et al., 2006; Arunachalam et al., 2006; Tagaris et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2008a; Zhang et al., 2008). Most of these studies are conducted at a regional scale (\geq 36-km) and focus only on surface ozone (O₃). Very few focus on fine particulate matter (PM_{2,5}) and consider the

changes in biogenic and/or anthropogenic emissions (e.g., Tagaris et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008). Since O₃ and PM_{2.5} share common emission sources and precursors, studies excluding each other may not provide a complete description of future air quality and an emission control strategy focusing on one pollutant may not lead to an overall improvement of future air quality. For example, a reduction in emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) may decrease O₃ but increase particulate nitrate formation (Meng et al., 1997; Liu et al., in press a). The effectiveness of the emission control strategies depends on chemical and meteorological conditions due to the complex, non-linear interplays of chemical and meteorological processes during the formation of O₃ and PM_{2.5}. For example, O₃ can be most effectively reduced by reducing NO_x under the NO_x-limited conditions or by reducing VOCs under the VOClimited conditions. Tsimpidi et al. (2007) found that NH₃ emission control during winter time is a more effective and less costly control strategy than reducing NO_x and SO₂ emissions. Emission control strategies therefore require a careful design to reflect the characteristics of emissions, chemistry, and meteorology of regions of interest. In this Part II paper, the impact of emission control on





^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 919 515 9688; fax: +1 919 515 7802. *E-mail address*: yang_zhang@ncsu.edu (Y. Zhang).

^{1352-2310/\$ –} see front matter \odot 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.022

future air quality will be evaluated by using CMAQ v4.5.1 described and evaluated in Part I (Liu et al., in press b). The effectiveness of the projected emission controls under winter and summer conditions will be assessed. As shown in Part I, the performance of MM5/ CMAQ for January and July 2002 simulations is overall consistent with that reported in the literature, although some large biases occur for temperature at 1.5 m in January, precipitation in both months, and 24-h average $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in July. Compared with the simulation at 12-km, the 4-km simulation gives slightly larger biases for maximum 1-h and 8-h average mixing ratios of O₃, 24-h average concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and most $PM_{2.5}$ components, and visibility parameters, but lower biases for EC and OM in January and wet deposition fluxes of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in July.

The state implementation plan (SIPs) modeling for multiple pollutants is expected to be conducted at a grid resolution of 12-km or finer (U.S. EPA, 2007). Several studies have evaluated the sensitivity of model predictions over NC to horizontal grid resolution. For example, Arunachalam et al. (2006) evaluated the impact of grid resolution on O₃ simulated by the Multiscale Air Quality Simulation Platform (MAQSIP) for 19-25 June 1996 and a few days in summers 1995-1997, respectively. Neither work assessed the impacts of grid resolution on meteorology, PM_{2.5}, visibility, and dry and wet deposition amounts, some of these were examined in Wu et al. (2008b) and Queen and Zhang (2008) at 4-, 12-, and 36-km for both August and December 2002 using CMAQ and an older version of the VISTAS's emissions, and all of these have been examined at 4- and 12-km for both January and July 2002 using a variant of CMAO and the latest VISTAS's emissions in Liu et al. (in press b). Evaluation of such impacts has not been done for future-year simulations and for a complete set of model outputs over NC, which is another focus of this Part II paper. Such an evaluation aims to address some policy-related concerns regarding whether the model results at 4-km significantly differ from those at 12-km in terms of temporal variation, spatial distribution, and performance statistics and what species exhibit a large sensitivity; what the policy implications of such a sensitivity are to the SIP modeling; and what additional information can fine-scale simulations provide for SIP and future design values for O₃ and PM_{2.5}.

2. Responses of air quality to emission reductions in 2009 and 2018

Fig. 1 shows the emissions of major pollutants in current and future years and corresponding domain-average percentage reductions from the level of 2002-2009 and 2018 for these emissions and concentrations of O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$. CO, an important O_3 precursor under rural conditions, is mainly emitted from motor vehicles in NC, with a total of 4,164,158 tons in 2002 and projected 19.7% and 32.6% reductions in 2009 and 2018, respectively, from the 2002 level. NO_x, an important precursor of O₃ and PM nitrate, is predominantly from Electric Generating Units (EGUs) and traffic sources. A total of 643,448 tons of NO_x are emitted in 2002, with projected 35.4% and 56.5% reductions in 2009 and 2018, respectively. In contrast to emission reductions in NO_x, CO, SO₂, and VOCs, the emissions of NH_3 and $PM_{2.5}$ increase by up to 14.1% and 16.4% in 2018, respectively. NC ranks the second among all U.S. states in terms of hog production (Wu et al., 2008b), with a total of 173,185 tons of NH₃ emitted from NC and 6.5% and 14.1% increase in 2009 and 2018 respectively, due to projected potential increases in hog productions in the eastern NC and potential increases in other sources in the northwest and central NC. In responses to changes in emissions, O₃ mixing ratios increase in January and decrease in June, July, and August; the concentrations of PM2.5 and its composition decrease in all the four months, with larger reduction in summer months than in January for all species except for OM. For example, the domain-wide max 1-h and 8-h O₃ mixing ratios decrease by 9.5–11.7% and 8.3–11.4% in 2009, and 16.6–21.7% and 14.8–20.0% in 2018, respectively, in summer months. The domain-wide concentration reductions in summer months are 19.5–23.3% and 31.1–35.5% for PM_{2.5}, 18.1–20% and 32.3–35.6% for NH₄⁺, 29.2–35.2% and 48.1–54.5% for SO₄^{2–}, 37.2–44.3% and 54.0–62.8% for NO₃⁻, and 17.5–81.2% and 27.9–84.8% for EC, and 3.5–4.4% and 5.7–6.7% for OM in 2009 and 2018, respectively. More detailed results along with reasons for these changes are provided below.

Fig. 2 shows the absolute differences in the spatial distribution of 1-h and 8-h O₃ mixing ratios and PM_{2.5} concentrations in January and July between 2009 and 2002 and between 2018 and 2002. As a direct response to reduced precursor emissions and concentrations, the max 1-h and 8-h O₃ mixing ratios in July are reduced by 2-6 ppb (6-18%) in 2009 and 3.6-10.8 ppb (12-30%) in 2018, respectively, over almost the entire domain. Those in January, however, show increased trends throughout the domain, with an increase of 0.6-5 ppb (2-30%) and 0.6-8 ppb (2-80%) for maximum 1-h and 8-h O3 mixing ratios in 2009 and 2018, respectively. Different responses in O₃ mixing ratios to emission reductions in January and July are caused by different chemistry in these months. A number of indicators such as H₂O₂/HNO₃, H₂O₂/ $(O_3 + NO_2)$, HCHO/NO₂, HCHO/NO_v, NO_v, O₃/NO_x, and O₃/NO_v have been developed to determine whether O₃ concentrations at a given location are most sensitive to VOC or NO_x emissions (Sillman, 1995; Tonnesen and Dennis, 2000; Zhang et al., 2005). For example, the afternoon values of NO_y \leq 20 ppb, H₂O₂/HNO₃ \geq 0.2, H₂O₂/ $(O_3 + NO_2) \ge 0.02$, and HCHO/NO₂ ≥ 1 indicate a NO_x-limited O₃ chemistry and other values above or below these transition values indicate a VOC-limited O₃ chemistry (Sillman, 1995; Tonnesen and Dennis, 2000). Fig. 3 shows the spatial distributions of H_2O_2/HNO_3 , $H_2O_2/(O_3 + NO_2)$, and HCHO/NO₂ in January and July 2002. The values of H_2O_2/HNO_3 and $H_2O_2/(O_3 + NO_2)$ are larger than 2 and 0.042 throughout the whole domain in July, indicating a NO_xlimited O₃ chemistry; other indicators such as HCHO/NO₂, HCHO/ NO_{v} , NO_{v} , O_{3}/NO_{x} , and O_{3}/NO_{v} also show a NO_{x} -limited O_{3} chemistry in most NC. Several indicators, however, show a VOC-limited O₃ chemistry in January. This is consistent with the finding by Jacob et al. (1995) who reported a seasonal transition from NO_x- to VOClimited conditions for O₃ production in the eastern U.S. by analyzing measured concentrations of O₃, CO, NO, NO_v, H₂O₂, and HCHO. It is also consistent with the finding of Pinder et al. (2008) that reducing NO_x emissions leads to an increased O₃ in the eastern U.S. in winter because of VOC-limited condition. The VOClimited chemistry in winter leads to O₃ increase because the emission reduction of NO_x dominates over that of VOC in winter. Although biogenic VOCs emissions are main sources of VOCs in NC and uncontrollable, more reductions in anthropogenic VOC emissions can help compensate the O₃ increase due to the reduction in NO_x emissions in NC in winter as the NAAQS becomes more stringent and VOCs emission control may play an increasingly important role in future O3 attainment.

The spatial distributions of $PM_{2.5}$ and its components in future years are similar to those in 2002. For example, the highest $PM_{2.5}$ occurs at central and eastern NC and about $1-2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ and $2-3.5 \ \mu g \ m^{-3} \ PM_{2.5}$ are reduced in this area in 2009 and 2018, respectively. Although NH₃ emissions increase in 2009 and 2018, NH⁴₄ concentrations decrease due to lower concentrations of NO₃ and SO²₄⁻ for its neutralization. The temporal variation trends of January PM_{2.5} in 2009 and 2018 are similar with 2002, with greater reductions in peak concentrations (Figures not shown). The simulated PM_{2.5} concentrations in July 2009 are in the range of $6-9 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ in the most of the domain and those in July 2018 are in the same range but are lower than 6 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ in the mountain and most coastal areas. Similar to 2002, the highest PM_{2.5} occurs along



Fig. 1. Emission and chemical species changes at 4-km horizontal grid resolution from 2002 to 2018. (a) Main pollutants emissions in 2002, 2009, and 2018, (b) emission changes in 2009 and 2018, and reduction of chemical species concentrations in 2009 and 2018 in (c) January (d) June (e) July, and (f) August.

the west side of Appalachian Mountains in TN in 2009 and 2018. Compared with July 2002, 24-h average PM_{2.5} concentrations in July 2009 and 2018 are reduced by up to 26.9% and 38.8% for the whole domain (see Fig. 1(c) and (d)), significant reductions occur in the west side of Mountains in KY and TN and in the central NC. The temporal variations of PM_{2.5} in 2009 and 2018 are similar to those in 2002, with significant reductions in peak concentrations (Figures not shown). For SO₄^{2–}, 30% reduction in 2009 and >50% reduction in 2018 occur over nearly entire domain, with >40% reduction in 2009 and $\sim 60\%$ reduction in 2018 in the west side of mountain areas in KY and the central area in NC. For the same reason stated previously, large NH $\stackrel{+}{_{d}}$ concentration decrease (>20% in 2009 and 30% in 2018) occurs in the coastal and central NC, despite an increase in the NH₃ emission in 2009 and 2018. More than 15% and 30% $NO_{\overline{3}}$ reduction occurs in 2009 and 2018 in most of the domain, with a significant decrease in the coastal area but little or no changes in the mountain areas. The magnitude of reductions in PM_{2.5} is larger in July than in January due to a greater reduction in the concentrations of NH_4^+ and SO_4^{2-} in July, indicating that the planned control strategy is more effective for PM_{2.5} improvement during summer. The areas with high PM_{2.5} concentrations in 2002 are more sensitive to emission reductions in both January and July.

Fig. 4 shows absolute differences in EXT_Recon and DCV_Recon simulated at 4-km between 2009 and 2002 and between 2018 and 2002. In January 2009/2018, the EXT_Recon values are reduced by $6-30 \text{ Mm}^{-1}$ (4–10%) and 6–50 Mm⁻¹ (6–30%), respectively, and the DCV_Recon values are reduced by 0.2–1.0 dv (2–10%) and

0.4–2.0 dv (4–15%), respectively, over most of domain. Larger reductions occur in July 2009/2018. The EXT_Recon values are reduced by 10–40 Mm⁻¹ (10–30%) and 20–60 Mm⁻¹ (20–40%), respectively, and the DCV_Recon values are reduced by 0.8–3.2 dv (8–16%) and 2–5 dv (12–24%), respectively, over most of domain. These results indicate an improved visibility in future years because of reduced PM_{2.5} concentrations.

Fig. 5 shows absolute differences in hourly dry deposition amounts of NH_4^+ , SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^- simulated at 4-km between 2009 and 2002 and between 2018 and 2002. The dry deposition amounts are reduced by 2–10 mg ha⁻¹ (4–20%) for NH₄⁺, 4–20 mg ha⁻¹ (14–42%) for SO₄^{2–}, and 6-30 mg ha⁻¹ (8–40%) for NO₃ in January 2009 and 2–20 mg ha⁻¹ (8–50%) for NH₄⁺, 4–50 mg ha⁻¹ (20–60%) for SO₄^{2–}, and 6-50 mg ha⁻¹ (8-50%) for NO₃⁻ in January 2018, respectively, primarily over coastal plain and mountain areas. Some increases in the dry deposition amounts of NO_3^- occur over the west side of Appalachian Mountains and ocean, because of increases in NO_3^- as a result of decreased SO_4^{2-} and availability of more NH_4^+ over the mountain area and availability of Na^+ to neutralize NO_3^- over the ocean. The dry deposition amounts are reduced by $1-5 \text{ mg ha}^{-1}$ (8–24%) for NH₄⁺, $10-40 \text{ mg ha}^{-1}$ (16–48%) for SO₄²⁻, and 2–10 mg ha⁻¹ (16–64%) for NO₃⁻¹ in July 2009 and 1–5 mg ha⁻¹ (8–40%) for NH₄⁺, 10–50 mg ha⁻¹ (32-64%) for SO₄²⁻, and 2-20 mg ha⁻¹ (16-80%) for NO₃⁻ in July 2018, respectively, primarily over coastal plain and Piedmont areas.

Fig. 6 shows absolute differences in the hourly wet deposition amounts of NH_4^+ , SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^- simulated at 4-km between 2009 and 2002 and between 2018 and 2002. In January 2009 and 2018,



Fig. 2. Absolute differences in monthly-mean max 1-h and 8-h O₃ mixing ratios and 24-h average PM_{2.5} concentrations simulated at the 4-km horizontal grid resolution in January and July 2002, 2009, and 2018.



Fig. 3. Spatial distribution of Indicators in July and January, 2002, (a) H₂O₂/HNO₃, (b) H₂O₂/(O₃ + NO₂), and (c) HCHO/NO₂.

the wet deposition amount of NH_{A}^{+} increases by 2–10 mg ha⁻¹ (2-10%) and 10-32 mg ha⁻¹ (6-18\%), respectively, over most of the domain, with the largest increases occurring in the mountain and Piedmont areas. By contrast, the wet deposition amount decreases by 200–600 mg ha⁻¹ (16–32%) and 400–1000 mg ha⁻¹ (28–50%), respectively, for SO_4^{2-} and 200–1000 mg ha⁻¹ (10–40%) and 400–1500 mg ha⁻¹ (28–56%), respectively, for NO₃. The variation trends in July 2009 and 2018 are somewhat different. The wet deposition amount of NH₄⁺ increases by 2–50 mg ha⁻¹ (2–10%) and 2-64 mg ha⁻¹ (2-20%) in the central NC and some coastal areas but decreases by 2–50 mg ha⁻¹ (2–10%) and 2–100 mg ha⁻¹ (2–20%), respectively, in the remaining areas. The wet deposition amount decreases by 100–500 mg ha⁻¹ (28–60%) and 100–1000 mg ha⁻¹ (40–80%), respectively, for SO₄⁻¹ and 400–2000 mg ha⁻¹ (14–60%) and 600–5000 mg ha⁻¹ (36–72%), respectively, for NO₃. While the reduction in the wet deposition amounts of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^{-} is resulted from the reduction of their precursor emissions, the variation trend in the wet deposition amounts of NH₄⁺ are dictated by the net effect of increased NH₃ emissions and either increased or decreased ambient concentrations of NH₄⁺ as a result of thermodynamic equilibrium among inorganic salts in the atmosphere.

3. The sensitivity of model predictions to horizontal grid resolution

The sensitivity of model predictions to horizontal grid resolution in 2009/2018 simulations is overall similar. Fig. 7 shows absolute differences in the monthly-mean maximum 1-h and 8-h O_3 mixing ratios, 24-h average concentrations in PM_{2.5}, and EXT_Recon and DCV_Recon between the 4- and 12-km simulations

in January and July 2009. Compared with the 12-km simulation, the 2009 4-km simulation gives higher O_3 (mostly 1–4 ppb, or < 10%, up to 6.6 ppb or 22.6%) in the mountain area but lower values (mostly 1–5 ppb, or < 20%, up to 17.6 ppb or 57.8%) in the central and coastal NC in January 2009. Similar trends are found for 2009 July simulations with less increase (mostly by 1-2 ppb, or < 4%) in a smaller area than January and also less decrease (mostly by 1-4 ppb, or 4-12%) in central and coastal areas. Compared with changes in the O₃ mixing ratios in 2009 relative to 2002, the increase is greater (mostly 1–5 ppb, or < 12%, up to 6.9 ppb or 26.3%) in January 2018 and the decrease is smaller in the central NC in July 2018 (Figure not shown). Contrary to trends in O₃, the 2009 January 4-km simulation generally gives higher $PM_{2.5}$ (mostly < 2 µg m⁻³ or < 15%, up to 13.7 μg m⁻³ or 148.5%) in most Piedmont and coastal plain areas but lower values (mostly $0.5-2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$, or < 20%, up to 4.13 μg m^-3 or 49%) in the mountain areas than those at 12-km. Compared with 12-km results in July, the 4-km simulation gives higher values (mostly within 1 μ g m⁻³ or 15%) in the mountain areas in KY, VA, and TN and the coastal plain area in NC but slightly lower values (mostly within 0.6 μ g m⁻³ or 10%) in the Piedmont area. Larger cold bias in temperature predictions at 4-km than 12-km can help explain partially the higher values in January over most of the domain; higher precipitation and lower temperature may contribute in part to the lower values in July over most of the domain. The differences in PM2.5 concentrations between the 2018 and 2002 January simulations are very similar to those between 2009 and 2002 in terms of spatial distribution and magnitude. For July simulation, less increase occurs in the mountain area and less decrease occurs in the Piedmont area, but larger increase occurs in the coastal plain area. For EXT_Recon and



Fig. 4. Absolute differences in monthly-mean hourly EXT_Recon and DCV_Recon simulated at the 4-km horizontal grid resolution in January and July 2002, 2009, and 2018.

DCV_Recon in 2009 and 2018, the highest sensitivity is found in the mountain and the coastal plain areas with higher values at 4-km in January and slightly lower values at 4-km throughout the domain in July for the same reasons as differences in PM_{2.5} predictions between 12- and 4-km simulation results. Fig. 8 shows absolute differences in the monthly-mean hourly total depositions of NH₄⁺, SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^{-} between the 4- and 12-km simulations in January and July 2009 (figure not shown for 2018 because of its similarity to that in 2009). Both dry and wet deposition amounts are highly sensitive to horizontal grid resolution, resulting in a high sensitivity in the total deposition amounts throughout the domain. While the dry deposition amounts exhibit high sensitivity in the mountain and coastal plain areas, the wet deposition amounts show high sensitivity throughout the domain. Such a high sensitivity is expected because of the strong dependence of dry and wet deposition on processes/parameters that are highly dependent on horizontal resolution such as land use, cloud/precipitation, and aqueous-phase chemistry. Compared with the 12-km results, the 4-km simulation gives 0.01-1000 mg ha⁻¹ (up to 600%), $10-4000 \text{ mg ha}^{-1}$ (up to 260%), and $10-2000 \text{ mg ha}^{-1}$ (up to 400%) higher total deposition for NH⁺₄, SO²⁻₄, and NO³₃, respectively, in January 2009. It gives 0.1–1000 mg ha⁻¹ (up to 490%), 10–5000 mg ha⁻¹ (up to 300%), and 10–1000 mg ha⁻¹ (up to 470%) higher values in the mountain and coastal plain areas but 0.01–1000 mg ha⁻¹ (up to 95%), 10–6000 mg ha⁻¹ (up to 94%), and 10–800 mg ha⁻¹ (up to 96%) lower values in many regions for NH⁺₄, SO²⁻₄, and NO³₃, respectively, in July 2009.

While the impacts of grid resolution on model predictions in 2009 and 2018 are similar to those in 2002, the discrepancies in simulated maximum 1-h and 8-h O_3 mixing ratios, 24-h average concentrations of PM_{2.5} and its components, and dry and wet deposition amounts between the two grid resolutions for January 2002 are overall larger than those in 2009 and 2018, indicating the current-year simulation is slightly more sensitive to grid resolution in winter.

4. Modeled attainment test

The U.S. EPA's modeled attainment test is performed to estimate the impact of emission controls in 2009/2018 on the attainment of 8-h average max O₃ and 24-h average PM_{2.5} at a given monitor over NC.



Fig. 5. Absolute differences in monthly-mean hourly dry deposition amounts of PM_{2.5} NH₄⁺, SO₄², and NO₃⁻ simulated at the 4-km horizontal grid resolution in January and July 2002, 2009, and 2018.



Fig. 6. Absolute differences in monthly-mean hourly wet deposition amounts of $PM_{2.5}$ NH_4^+ , SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^- simulated at the 4-km horizontal grid resolution in January and July 2002, 2009, and 2018.

The results are summarized in Tables 1–3. The site-specific current design values (DVCs) for 8-h max O_3 are obtained from NCDENR, except for four CASTNET sites where those values are obtained from Arunachalam et al. (2006). The DVCs were calculated as 5-year weighted design values at each site based on the 4th-highest daily

maximum 8-h O₃ concentrations during 2000–2004. Those for 24-h average PM_{2.5} are also obtained from NCDENR; they are calculated based on the average of quarterly design values of 24-h average PM_{2.5} concentrations at each site during 2000–2004. The results from the 4-km and 12-km 2002 June, July, and August simulations



Fig. 7. Absolute differences in max 1-h and 8-h O₃ mixing ratios, 24-h average PM_{2.5}, 24-h average EXT_Recon, and 24-h average DCV_Recon simulated at the 4- and 12-km horizontal grid resolutions in January and July, 2009.

with "typical" emissions are used to estimate the site-specific relative reduction factor (RRF), which equals to the ratio of the mean base-year value (Mean_BY) to the mean future-year value (Mean_FY), as well as the site-specific future-year design value (DVF). The U.S. EPA's recommended O_3 modeled attainment test methodology (U.S. EPA, 2007) is used but only applied to the summer months (i.e., June, July, and August) and not an entire O_3 monitoring season (April through October for NC). For the PM_{2.5} modeled



Fig. 8. Absolute differences in monthly-mean hourly total deposition amounts (in kg ha⁻¹) of ammonium, sulfate, and nitrate in PM_{2.5} simulated at the 4- and 12-km horizontal grid resolutions in January and July, 2009.

attainment test, the PM2.5 RRFs are calculated by taking the ratio of future year and base-year total PM_{2.5} mass concentrations. The Speciated Model Attainment Test (SMAT) methodology recommended by the U.S. EPA is not used here because the observed mass concentrations during 2000-2004 at the Federal Reference Method (FRM) monitors are not available for all seven PM_{2.5} species required by SMAT and the interpolation method to obtain the speciation data contains some uncertainties. SMAT is recommended for the PM_{2.5} modeled attainment test when such speciation data are available in the future. To increase the representativeness of the model results for urban-scale O₃ and PM_{2.5} monitors, a block of grid cells that are within 15-km radius of the monitor, rather than a single cell in which the monitor is located, are considered in calculating the RRFs and DVFs based on the method of Arunachalam et al. (2006). Each block consists of 7×7 and 3×3 grid cells (with the monitor in the center cell) for the 4-km and 12-km simulations, respectively. Following Arunachalam et al. (2006), only the days when the highest 8-h max O_3 value was ≥ 0.070 ppm are considered.

For 8-h max O_3 DVCs, 49 out of 50 sites (except at Bryson City) are in non-attainment, having a concentration >0.075 ppm. For 2009 8-h max O_3 DVFs, all sites in Charlotte, several sites in Triangle, Triad, and Asheville areas, and one site in the Greenville, Rocky Mount and Wilson area and in the NW Piedmont will be in the non-attainment (23 and 16 out of a total of 50 sites in NC based on both 4- and 12-km simulations, respectively). For 2018 8-h max O_3 DVFs, only 1 site in Charlotte (i.e., Garinger) is in non-attainment at 4-km and all sites are in attainment at 12-km. Comparing the 4-km RRFs with the 12-km RRFs, 7 and 23 sites of the 50 sites have >3% differences in 2009 and 2018, respectively. The differences between the 4-km RRFs with the 12-km RRFs correspond to 1–3.8 ppb at 23 sites in 2009 and 1.1–6.0 ppb at 31 sites in 2018. The Student's *t*-test is performed to determine whether the daily RRFs for the 12- and 4-km simulations are equal, which further helps isolate areas that may benefit from fine grid resolution (Arunachalam et al., 2006). A *p*-value < 0.05 indicates a significant difference between RRFs for the 12- and 4-km simulations. The detailed statistical theory for Student's *t*-test was described in Arunachalam et al. (2006). None of the 50 sites in 2009/2018 have significantly different RRFs for 8-h max O₃ simulated at 12- and 4-km, indicating that the simulated 8-h max O₃ is relatively insensitive to horizontal grid resolution and a 12-km grid resolution may be sufficient for O₃ modeling in support of SIPs in NC.

For 24-h PM_{2.5} DVCs, only 1 out of a total of 37 sites (i.e., the 1st Street in NW Piedmont) is in non-attainment, having a concentration $>35 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$. For 2009 24-h PM_{2.5} DVFs, all sites are in the attainment based on both 4- and 12-km simulations. For 2018 24-h PM_{2.5} DVFs, all sites are in attainment based on the 4-km simulation. Comparing the 4-km RRFs with the 12-km RRFs, 12 and 5 sites have 3–5% and >5% differences, respectively, in 2009 and 3 and 33 sites have 3–5% and >5% differences in 2018. These differences correspond to 1.1–4.5 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ at 6 sites in 2009 and 31 sites in 2018 have *p*-values < 0.05, indicating significantly

Table 1

O₃ design values and *p*-values from student's *t*-test.

| AIRS ID | Site ID | Site name | DVC ^a | 2009DVF | | 2018DVF | | p-values(12 km vs. 4 km) | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------|
| | | | | 4 km | 12 km | 4 km | 12 km | 2009 | 2018 |
| Charlotte | | | | | | | | | |
| 37-119-1009 | CTYL | CountyLine | 0.0973 | 0.0847 | 0.0842 | 0.0737 | 0.0716 | 0.974 | 0.977 |
| 37-159-0021 | ROCK | Rockwell | 0.0973 | 0.0841 | 0.0831 | 0.0739 | 0.0714 | 0.942 | 0.957 |
| 37-159-0022 | ENVL | Enochville | 0.0970 | 0.0839 | 0.0839 | 0.0739 | 0.0715 | 0.995 | 0.997 |
| 37-119-0041 | PLZA | Garinger (Plaza) | 0.0953 | 0.0853 | 0.0840 | 0.0766 | 0.0728 | 0.915 | 0.938 |
| 37-109-0004 | CRSE | Crouse | 0.0907 | 0.0788 | 0.0779 | 0.0694 | 0.0683 | 0.943 | 0.955 |
| 37-119-1005 | ARWD | Arrowood | 0.0847 | 0.0757 | 0.0742 | 0.0678 | 0.0642 | 0.917 | 0.939 |
| 37-179-0003 | MONR | Monroe | 0.0870 | 0.0752 | 0.0761 | 0.0651 | 0.0652 | 0.955 | 0.947 |
| Triangle (Raleigh-Du | ırham-Chapel H | ill) | | | | | | | |
| 37-183-0014 | MLBK | Millbrook | 0.0907 | 0.0794 | 0.0790 | 0.0681 | 0.0675 | 0.978 | 0.984 |
| 37-077-0001 | BTNR | Butner | 0.0923 | 0.0780 | 0.0790 | 0.0672 | 0.0683 | 0.954 | 0.947 |
| 37-183-0015 | STAG | St. Augustine | 0.0927 | 0.0809 | 0.0808 | 0.0688 | 0.0690 | 0.992 | 0.995 |
| 37-145-0003 | BSHF | Bushy Fork | 0.0893 | 0.0747 | 0.0731 | 0.0665 | 0.0632 | 0.945 | 0.930 |
| 37-069-0001 | FRKL | Franklinton | 0.0897 | 0.0771 | 0.0776 | 0.0664 | 0.0665 | 0.974 | 0.979 |
| 37-063-0013 | DUKE | DUKE DUKE St. | 0.0887 | 0.0764 | 0.0766 | 0.0658 | 0.0654 | 0.990 | 0.992 |
| 37-183-0017 | VVKAL | Tower Fuguer Verine | 0.0853 | 0.0743 | 0.0741 | 0.0638 | 0.0633 | 0.987 | 0.992 |
| 27 101 0002 | FUQV WIOU | Fuquay-Valilla | 0.0873 | 0.0759 | 0.0741 | 0.0601 | 0.0655 | 0.987 | 0.992 |
| 37-037-0004 | PITT | Pittsboro | 0.0813 | 0.0695 | 0.0705 | 0.0605 | 0.0609 | 0.945 | 0.964 |
| Tried (Creenshere W | Vinatan Calam I | (lich Doint) | | | | | | | |
| 37_059_0002 | | | 0.0013 | 0.0787 | 0.0773 | 0.0700 | 0.0664 | 0.017 | 0.035 |
| 37-059-0002 | HTAV | Hattie Ave | 0.0913 | 0.0787 | 0.0773 | 0.0700 | 0.0649 | 0.917 | 0.955 |
| 37-067-10022 | LICRS | Union Cross | 0.0913 | 0.0700 | 0.0734 | 0.0078 | 0.0641 | 0.952 | 0.951 |
| 37-157-0099 | RETH | Bethany | 0.0883 | 0.0752 | 0.0743 | 0.0671 | 0.0611 | 0.854 | 0.550 |
| 37-033-0001 | CHGR | Cherry Grove | 0.0877 | 0.0741 | 0.0713 | 0.0643 | 0.0626 | 0.954 | 0.944 |
| 37-081-0011 | MLVL | McLeansville | 0.0887 | 0.0778 | 0.0756 | 0.0675 | 0.0641 | 0.885 | 0.905 |
| 37-067-0028 | SHIL | ShilohChurch | 0.0863 | 0.0719 | 0.0712 | 0.0639 | 0.0618 | 0.976 | 0.973 |
| 37-151-0004 | SOPH | Sophia | 0.0850 | 0.0729 | 0.0727 | 0.0633 | 0.0624 | 0.988 | 0.991 |
| 37-067-0027 | POLL | Pollirosa | 0.0817 | 0.0675 | 0.0681 | 0.0605 | 0.0596 | 0.978 | 0.977 |
| Asheville | | | | | | | | | |
| 37-199-0003 | MTMI | Mt Mitchell | 0.0827 | 0.0733 | 0.0727 | 0.0660 | 0.0648 | 0.962 | 0 981 |
| 37-087-0035 | FRYP | Fry Pan | 0.0823 | 0.0752 | 0.0734 | 0.0699 | 0.0671 | 0.929 | 0.954 |
| 37-087-0036 | PKNO | Purchase Knob | 0.0847 | 0.0761 | 0.0738 | 0.0696 | 0.0663 | 0.875 | 0.927 |
| 37-099-0005 | BKNO | Barnet Knob | 0.0833 | 0.0753 | 0.0737 | 0.0688 | 0.0666 | 0.917 | 0.956 |
| 37-021-0030 | BENT | Bent Creek | 0.0800 | 0.0712 | 0.0690 | 0.0645 | 0.0607 | 0.856 | 0.913 |
| 37-087-0004 | WAYN | Waynesville | 0.0783 | 0.0707 | 0.0693 | 0.0655 | 0.0632 | 0.908 | 0.947 |
| 37-173-0002 | BRYS | Bryson City | 0.0730 | 0.0657 | 0.0634 | 0.0599 | 0.0556 | 0.923 | 0.948 |
| Greenville, Rocky M | ount and Wilso | n (Down East) | | | | | | | |
| 37-065-0099 | LEGT | Leggett | 0.0873 | 0.0755 | 0.0757 | 0.0686 | 0.0652 | 0.987 | 0.994 |
| 37-147-0099 | FARM | Farmville | 0.0820 | 0.0698 | 0.0708 | 0.0604 | 0.0606 | 0.949 | 0.979 |
| 37-107-0004 | KINS | L. College | 0.0800 | 0.0668 | 0.0699 | 0.0575 | 0.0607 | 0.855 | 0.931 |
| 37-061-0002 | KVIL | Kenansville | 0.0800 | 0.0677 | 0.0708 | 0.0683 | 0.0626 | 0.862 | 0.953 |
| 37-117-0001 | JVIL | Jamesville | 0.0810 | 0.0733 | 0.0718 | 0.0689 | 0.0632 | 0.921 | 0.959 |
| Fayetteville | | | | | | | | | |
| 37-051-0008 | WADE | Wade | 0.0853 | 0.0725 | 0.0731 | 0.0608 | 0.0619 | 0.967 | 0.982 |
| 37-051-1003 | HOPE | Golfview | 0.0860 | 0.0739 | 0.0741 | 0.0625 | 0.0628 | 0.986 | 0.992 |
| NW Piedmont(Hicko | ory) | | | | | | | | |
| 37-003-0003 | ALEX | Taylorsville | 0.0870 | 0.0767 | 0.0755 | 0.0681 | 0.0661 | 0.899 | 0.939 |
| 37-027-0003 | LENR | Lenoir | 0.0833 | 0.0739 | 0.0734 | 0.0663 | 0.0647 | 0.955 | 0.979 |
| Various areas | | | | | | | | | |
| 37-131-0002 | GAST | Gaston | 0.0853 | 0.0742 | 0.0740 | 0.0713 | 0.0664 | 0.993 | 0.996 |
| 37-029-0099 | CAMD | Camden | 0.0777 | 0.0698 | 0.0696 | 0.0640 | 0.0632 | 0.978 | 0.989 |
| 37-011-0002 | LINV | Linville | 0.0777 | 0.0692 | 0.0690 | 0.0635 | 0.0628 | 0.983 | 0.991 |
| 37-129-0002 | WILM | Castle Hayne | 0.0773 | 0.0693 | 0.0695 | 0.0622 | 0.0613 | 0.992 | 0.994 |
| CASTNET Sites | | | | | | | | | |
| 37-123-8001 | CAND | Candor | 0.086 | 0.0741 | 0.0750 | 0.0644 | 0.0647 | 0,936 | 0.940 |
| 37-011-8001 | CRAN | Cranberry | 0.083 | 0.0745 | 0.0741 | 0.0688 | 0.0672 | 0.978 | 0.988 |
| 37-113-8001 | COWE | Coweeta | 0.077 | 0.0700 | 0.0677 | 0.0625 | 0.0597 | 0.924 | 0.956 |
| 37-031-8001 | BEAU | Beaufort | 0.076 | 0.0675 | 0.0680 | 0.0617 | 0.0610 | 0.963 | 0.981 |
| ^a The DVC values for | r the CASTNET | sites are taken from Arun | achalam et al. (2 | 006) and for oth | ar sites are pro | vided by NCDEN | P | | |

'C values for the CASTNET sites are taken from Arunachalam et al. (2006), and for other sites are provided by NCDENR.

different RRFs for 24-h average $PM_{2.5}$ simulated at the 12- and 4-km. This indicates that the simulated 24-h average $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ for future years is sensitive to horizontal grid resolution and a 12-km grid resolution may not be sufficient for daily PM_{2.5} modeling in support of SIPs in NC.

5. Summary

MM5/CMAQ simulations are conducted to simulate air quality of January and July 2002, 2009, and 2018. The impact of planned emission control strategies are examined to evaluate their

Table 2

PM_{2.5} design values and *p*-values from student's *t*-test.

| $ \frac{1}{37\cdot025\cdot0004} + \frac{1}{100} \sqrt{1}{100} - \frac{1}{100} - \frac{1}{100} \sqrt{1}{100} - \frac{1}{100} - \frac$ | AIRS ID | Site name | DVC ^a | 2009DVF | | 2018DVF | | p-values(12 km vs. 4 km) | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Chalotte 37-025-0004 Floyd 32 25396 25000 21.817 20.718 0.332 0.020 37-119-0014 Eastway 30 22.418 25.325 26.229 21.274 0.0000 0.000 37-119-0042 Emerywood 30 22.463 25.325 26.229 21.274 0.0000 0.000 37-119-0042 Emerywood 30 22.463 25.325 26.273 21.274 0.0000 0.000 37-119-0042 Russett Run 27 21.290 20.546 18.746 17.316 0.022 0.002 37-063-0001 Main St. 33 23.468 26.725 27.874 23.813 0.000 0.000 37-113-0007 Mason Farm 29 22.380 22.788 21.100 13.701 0.066 0.004 37-183-0015 North Street 32 20.787 26.520 24.466 23.775 0.452 0.224 17-181-0012 S. Grahma Hopedial 37-001-002 S. Grahma Hopedial 37-001-002 S. Grahma Hopedial 37-007-002 S. Scaltama Hopedial 37-007-002 S. Scaltallemeade 26 20.108 19.977 18.242 16.983 0.656 0.001 37-081-009 Edgeworth&Bellemeade 26 20.108 19.977 18.242 16.983 0.656 0.001 37-081-009 Edgeworth&Bellemeade 26 20.108 19.977 18.242 16.983 0.656 0.001 37-081-001 City Idli Summit 30 22.628 22.2771 27.761 19.899 0.397 0.000 Ashwite 37-087-002 Kenarsille 27 21.154 21.343 22.850 18.666 0.078 0.000 37-080-005 US Route 19 29 21.597 19.1257 19.155 0.000 0.000 37-081-001 City Idli Summit 30 22.692 22.377 12.7761 19.899 0.391 0.354 37-071-001 City Idli Summit 30 22.692 22.377 12.7761 19.899 0.391 0.354 37-071-001 City Idli Summit 30 22.692 22.377 12.7761 19.899 0.391 0.354 37-071-0036 Hankaw 27 22.336 24.2289 22.4771 27.761 19.899 0.391 0.354 37-071-0036 Hankaw 27 22.336 24.2289 22.477 19.776 19.429 0.026 37-071-0016 Vister Park 30 24.222 32.861 24.302 20.776 0.001 37-071-0016 Highway east 26 20.942 20.471 19.742 17.497 0.231 0.001 37-183-0005 Hankaw 27 22.525 24.288 24.911 23.269 0.238 0.005 | | | | 4 km | 12 km | 4 km | 12 km | 2009 | 2018 |
| 37-025-0004 Floyd 32 25.396 25.000 21.817 20.718 0.332 0.000 37-119-0041 Estivay 30 22.418 25.325 26.229 21.274 0.000 0.000 37-119-0042 Emerywood 30 22.418 25.325 26.229 21.274 0.000 0.000 73-037-004 Russer Run 27 21.229 20.546 18.746 7.316 0.002 0.000 37-037-007 Mason Farm 29 23.368 26.725 27.374 23.813 0.000 0.000 37-135-0015 Marin Street 32 26.787 26.520 24.406 23.775 0.452 0.224 37-031-0010 S. Graham & Hopedale 32 2.4538 24.017 21.584 20.383 0.181 0.020 37-035-002 S. Autam & Hopedale 32 2.4538 2.4612 2.3990 2.674 0.080 0.007 37-035-002 S. Autam & Hopedale 26 20.108 2.3990 2.1674 0.080 0.000 37-037-002 S. Autam & Hoped | Charlotte | | | | | | | | |
| 37-119-0010 Remount 32 23.947 25.983 27.982 22.685 0.000 0.000 37-119-0042 Emerywood 30 22.463 25.325 26.273 21.274 0.000 0.000 37-017-0004 Rusert Run 27 21.290 26.465 18.746 17.316 0.002 0.002 37-037-0004 Rusert Run 29 23.380 22.798 21.100 13.901 0.066 0.000 37-137-0015 North Street 33 27.528 27.349 25.358 24.518 0.628 0.124 37-015 North Street 33 24.528 24.017 21.524 20.338 0.452 0.658 0.020 37-015 North Street 33 24.906 24.587 21.884 20.820 0.452 0.837 37-010 OK Granam & Hopedial 32 24.518 2.338 21.674 0.030 0.001 37-037-002 SALLSURV 35 25.51 21.257 1.524 1.6803 0.656 0.000 37-087-002 Hatr | 37-025-0004 | Floyd | 32 | 25.396 | 25.000 | 21.817 | 20.718 | 0.332 | 0.020 |
| 37-119-0041 Eastway 30 22.418 25.325 26.273 21.274 0.000 0.000 Triangle (Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill) - - - - - - 0.000 0.000 37-037-0004 Russett Run 27 21.230 20.566 18.746 17.316 0.002 0.000 37-037-0004 Maion Farm 29 23.380 22.798 21.100 13.701 0.066 0.000 37-183-0017 Mason Farm 29 23.380 27.349 23.538 24.518 0.224 0.82 0.217 0.452 0.224 0.237 1.452 0.452 0.028 0.115 0.020 0.020 1.572 0.2538 2.4518 2.0417 21.524 20.383 0.181 0.020 0.077 0.072 0.075 0.072 0.075 0.072 0.077 0.076 0.080 0.007 0.006 0.007 37.087-002 S.ALISBURY 35 2.7511 2.6826 2.3990 2.676 0.080 0.007 37.087-002 Katrie 36 2.0177 1.248 <t< td=""><td>37-119-0010</td><td>Remount</td><td>32</td><td>23.947</td><td>26.983</td><td>27.982</td><td>22.658</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.000</td></t<> | 37-119-0010 | Remount | 32 | 23.947 | 26.983 | 27.982 | 22.658 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 37-119-0042 Emerywood 30 22.463 25.325 26.273 21.274 0.000 0.000 Triangle (Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill) | 37-119-0041 | Eastway | 30 | 22.418 | 25.325 | 26.229 | 21.274 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Triangle (Raleigh-Dartham-Chapel Hill) 37-037-004 Russett Run 27 21.290 20.546 18.764 17.316 0.002 0.000 37-050-001 Main St. 33 23.468 26.725 27.874 23.813 0.000 0.000 37-135-0014 Spring Forest 32 26.787 26.520 24.406 23.775 0.452 0.213 37-031-0010 S. Graham & Hopedale 32 24.538 24.017 1.524 20.383 0.181 0.020 37-030-0002 S. Graham & Hopedale 32 24.538 24.017 21.524 20.383 0.181 0.020 37-037-0002 S.SALISBURY 35 27.511 26.526 23.990 22.676 0.080 0.001 37-087-0024 NORTH FORSTH 35 25.511 21.524 16.983 0.656 0.001 37-087-0024 NORTH FORSTH 35 22.567 21.534 11.99 0.0307 0.000 37-081-003 Wilonghby 28 | 37-119-0042 | Emerywood | 30 | 22.463 | 25.325 | 26.273 | 21.274 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 37-037-0004 Russett Run 27 21.290 20.546 18.746 17.316 0.022 0.002 37-083-0001 Maison Farm 29 23.380 22.798 21.100 19.701 0.066 0.0004 37-183-0014 Spring Forest 32 26.787 26.520 24.406 23.775 0.452 0.224 37-013-0002 S. Graham & Hopedale 32 24.538 24.017 21.524 20.383 0.181 0.020 37-015-0002 S.Arlama & Hopedale 32 24.538 24.017 21.524 20.383 0.181 0.020 37-057-0002 S.Arlame Kinpedale 32 24.538 24.977 21.888 20.820 0.452 0.087 37-067-0022 Hattie 34 26.382 25.491 23.308 21.674 0.030 0.001 37-087-0024 NORTH FORSTH 35 26.543 25.821 23.317 21.524 0.119 0.001 37-087-0010 9 Main St. 28 21.597 21.254 18.603 16.761 0.006 0.000 37-0 | Triangle (Raleigh-Durh | am-Chapel Hill) | | | | | | | |
| 37-062-0001 Main St. 33 23.468 26.725 27.874 23.813 0.000 0.000 37-135-0017 Mason Farm 29 23.30 22.798 21.100 19.701 0.066 0.004 37-185-0015 North Street 32 26.787 26.520 24.406 23.775 0.452 0.224 37-185-0015 North Street 33 27.528 24.017 21.524 20.383 0.181 0.020 37-037-0002 S. Graham & Hopedale 32 24.538 24.017 21.524 20.383 0.181 0.020 37-067-0002 S.SALISBURY 35 27.511 26.822 24.597 21.688 20.820 0.452 0.087 37-067-0024 NORTH FORSYTH 35 26.543 25.821 23.317 21.524 0.119 0.001 37-087-0010 Soute 19 28 21.597 21.254 19.574 18.050 0.307 0.000 37-087-0010 UN kain St. 28 22.372 18.275 17.34 0.442 0.016 37-021001 < | 37-037-0004 | Russett Run | 27 | 21.290 | 20.546 | 18.746 | 17.316 | 0.022 | 0.002 |
| 37-135-007 Mason Farm 29 23.380 22.798 21.100 19.701 0.066 0.004 37-183-0015 North Street 33 27.528 27.349 25.358 24.518 0.628 0.115 Triad (Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point) | 37-063-0001 | Main St. | 33 | 23.468 | 26.725 | 27.874 | 23.813 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 37-183-0014 Spring Forest 32 26.787 26.520 24.406 23.775 0.452 0.224 37-183-0015 North Street 33 27.528 27.349 25.358 24.518 0.628 0.115 Triad (Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point) - <td< td=""><td>37-135-0007</td><td>Mason Farm</td><td>29</td><td>23.380</td><td>22.798</td><td>21.100</td><td>19.701</td><td>0.066</td><td>0.004</td></td<> | 37-135-0007 | Mason Farm | 29 | 23.380 | 22.798 | 21.100 | 19.701 | 0.066 | 0.004 |
| 37-183-0015 North Street 33 27.528 27.349 25.358 24.518 0.628 0.115 Triad (Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point) | 37-183-0014 | Spring Forest | 32 | 26.787 | 26.520 | 24.406 | 23.775 | 0.452 | 0.224 |
| $\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | 37-183-0015 | North Street | 33 | 27.528 | 27.349 | 25.358 | 24.518 | 0.628 | 0.115 |
| 37-001-0002 S. Graham & Hopedale 32 24.538 24.017 21.524 20.333 0.181 0.020 37-033-0001 Cherry Grove 33 24.906 24.587 21.688 20.820 0.452 0.087 37-057-0002 SALISEURY 35 27.511 26.826 23.909 22.676 0.080 0.001 37-067-0024 NORTH FORSYTH 35 26.543 25.821 23.317 21.524 0.119 0.001 37-081-0009 Edgeworth&Bellemeade 26 20.108 19.977 18.242 16.983 0.656 0.000 37-081-0009 F Main St. 28 22.151 21.252 18.603 16.761 0.006 0.000 7-093-0006 US Route 19 29 21.891 23.040 17.172 19.974 0.339 0.167 37-021-0034 Bingham 30 24.422 23.485 21.557 19.185 0.021 0.000 37-047-0004 Kramasville 27 22.1141 21.343 22.850 18.366 0.176 0.000 0.001 37.045 <td>Triad (Greensboro-Win</td> <td>ston-Salem-High Point)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | Triad (Greensboro-Win | ston-Salem-High Point) | | | | | | | |
| 37-033-0001 Cherry Grove 33 24.906 24.877 21.688 20.820 0.452 0.087 37-057-0002 SALISBURY 35 27.511 26.826 23.909 22.676 0.080 0.007 37-067-0022 Hattie 34 26.382 25.491 23.308 21.674 0.030 0.001 37-087-0024 NORTH FORSYTH 35 26.543 25.821 23.317 21.524 0.119 0.001 37-087-0010 9 Main St. 28 21.597 21.254 19.574 18.050 0.307 0.000 37-087-0010 9 Main St. 28 22.1597 21.254 19.574 0.339 0.167 37-087-0010 US Route 19 29 21.891 23.040 17.172 19.974 0.339 0.167 37-027-0010 KI Hall Summit 30 22.692 22.372 18.376 0.137 0.021 0.000 37-061-002 Kenansville 27 22.142 21.343 22.850 18.366 0.178 0.000 37-061-002 Kenansville <td< td=""><td>37-001-0002</td><td>S. Graham & Hopedale</td><td>32</td><td>24.538</td><td>24.017</td><td>21.524</td><td>20.383</td><td>0.181</td><td>0.020</td></td<> | 37-001-0002 | S. Graham & Hopedale | 32 | 24.538 | 24.017 | 21.524 | 20.383 | 0.181 | 0.020 |
| 37-057-0002 SALISBURY 35 27.511 26.826 23.990 22.676 0.080 0.007 37-067-0024 Hattie 34 26.382 25.491 23.308 21.674 0.030 0.001 37-067-0024 NORTH FORSYTH 35 26.543 25.821 23.317 21.524 0.119 0.001 37-081-0009 Edgeworth&bellemeade 26 20.108 19.977 18.242 18.503 0.656 0.001 37-081-0006 US Route 19 29 21.597 12.522 18.603 16.761 0.006 0.000 37-087-0010 9 Main St. 28 22.151 21.252 18.263 16.761 0.006 0.000 37-021-0001 City Hall Summit 30 22.692 22.372 18.275 17.334 0.442 0.016 37-107-002 Kenter St. 28 27.280 22.571 27.61 19.899 0.391 0.354 37-051-0002 Kenarsville 27 22.114 21.343 22.850 18.366 0.178 0.000 37-057-0003 | 37-033-0001 | Cherry Grove | 33 | 24.906 | 24.587 | 21.688 | 20.820 | 0.452 | 0.087 |
| 37-067-0022 Hattie 34 26.382 25.491 23.308 21.674 0.030 0.001 37-067-0024 NORTH FORSYTH 35 26.543 25.821 23.317 21.524 0.119 0.001 37-081-0013 Wiloughby 28 21.597 21.254 19.574 18.050 0.307 0.000 Asheville | 37-057-0002 | S.SALISBURY | 35 | 27.511 | 26.826 | 23.990 | 22.676 | 0.080 | 0.007 |
| 37-067-0024 NORTH FORSYTH 35 26.543 29.821 23.317 21.524 0.119 0.001 37-081-0009 Edgeworth&Bellemeade 26 20.108 19.977 18.242 16.983 0.656 0.001 37-081-0010 9 Main St. 28 21.157 21.252 18.603 16.761 0.006 0.000 7-097-0006 US Route 19 29 21.891 23.040 17.172 19.974 0.339 0.167 37-107-0006 US Route 19 29 22.692 22.372 18.275 17.334 0.442 0.016 37-173-0002 Center St. 28 27.280 22.711 27.761 19.899 0.391 0.354 37-021-0034 Bingham 30 24.422 23.485 21.557 19.185 0.021 0.000 Greenville, Kocky Mount and Wilson (Down East) 27 22.114 21.343 22.850 18.366 0.178 0.000 37-061-0002 Kenansville 20 23.664 <td< td=""><td>37-067-0022</td><td>Hattie</td><td>34</td><td>26.382</td><td>25.491</td><td>23.308</td><td>21.674</td><td>0.030</td><td>0.001</td></td<> | 37-067-0022 | Hattie | 34 | 26.382 | 25.491 | 23.308 | 21.674 | 0.030 | 0.001 |
| 37-081-0009 Edgeworth&Bellemeade 26 20.108 19.977 18.242 16.983 0.656 0.001 37-081-0013 Wiloughby 28 21.597 21.254 19.574 18.050 0.000 0.000 Asheville 37-087-0010 9 Main St. 28 22.151 21.252 18.603 16.761 0.006 0.000 37-087-0010 US Route 19 29 21.891 23.040 17.172 19.974 0.339 0.167 37-127-1001 City Hall Summit 30 22.422 23.485 21.557 19.185 0.021 0.000 Greenville, Rocky Mount and Wilson (Down East) | 37-067-0024 | NORTH FORSYTH | 35 | 26.543 | 25.821 | 23.317 | 21.524 | 0.119 | 0.001 |
| 37-081-0013 Wiloughby 28 21.597 21.254 19.574 18.050 0.307 0.000 Asheville | 37-081-0009 | Edgeworth&Bellemeade | 26 | 20.108 | 19.977 | 18.242 | 16.983 | 0.656 | 0.001 |
| Asheville 37-087-0010 9 Main St. 28 22.151 21.252 18.603 16.761 0.006 0.000 37-099-006 US Route 19 29 21.891 23.040 17.172 19.974 0.339 0.167 37-121-0001 City Hall Summit 30 22.692 22.372 18.275 17.334 0.442 0.016 37-012-0034 Bingham 30 24.422 23.485 21.557 19.185 0.021 0.000 Greenville, Rocky Mount and Wilson (Down East) 7 27.01-0034 Bingham 30 24.322 23.610 24.302 20.746 0.106 0.000 37-061-0002 Kenansville 27 22.114 21.343 22.850 18.366 0.178 0.000 37-061-0003 Talbert Park 30 24.322 20.471 19.420 0.026 0.000 37-147-0005 Howell 28 22.297 21.884 20.481 19.033 0.429 0.026 37-191-0005 Levere | 37-081-0013 | Wiloughby | 28 | 21.597 | 21.254 | 19.574 | 18.050 | 0.307 | 0.000 |
| 37-087-00109 Main St.2822.15121.25218.60316.7610.0060.00037-099-0006US Route 192921.89123.04017.17219.9740.3390.16737-121-0001City Hall Summit3022.69222.37218.27517.3340.4420.01637-173-0002Center St.2827.28022.77127.76119.8990.3910.35437-021-0034Bingham3024.42223.48521.55719.1850.0210.000Greenville, Rocky Mount and Wilson (Down East)722.11421.34322.85018.3660.1780.00037-065-0003Talbert Park3024.32523.61024.30220.7460.1060.00037-107-0004Highway east2620.94220.47119.74217.4970.2310.00137-147-0005Devereau St.2923.66422.76421.87819.4250.0000.001Fayetteville722.3122.428922.44020.5270.0570.00177-051-0009Raeford3125.02624.28924.44123.2690.2380.000NW Piedmont(Hickory)37-117-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-119-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-119-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.830 <t< td=""><td>Asheville</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Asheville | | | | | | | | |
| 37-099-0006US Route 192921.89123.04017.17219.9740.3390.16737-173-0002Center St.2827.28022.37118.27517.3340.4420.01637-021-0034Bingham3024.42223.48521.55719.1850.0210.000Greenville, Rocky Mount and Wilson (Down East)37-061-0002Kenansville2722.11421.34322.85018.3660.1780.00037-065-0003Talbert Park3024.32523.61024.30220.7460.1060.00037-107-004Highway east2620.94220.47119.74217.4970.2310.00137-117-0005Howell2822.29721.98420.48119.0330.4290.02637-191-0005Devereau St.2923.66224.28922.44020.5270.0070.001Store and the second store and | 37-087-0010 | 9 Main St. | 28 | 22.151 | 21.252 | 18.603 | 16.761 | 0.006 | 0.000 |
| 37-121-0001 City Hall Summit 30 22.692 22.372 18.275 17.334 0.442 0.016 37-173-002 Center St. 28 27.280 22.771 27.761 19.899 0.391 0.354 37-021-0034 Bingham 30 24.422 23.485 21.557 19.185 0.000 Greenville, Rocky Mount and Wilson (Down East) 30 24.322 23.610 24.302 20.746 0.106 0.000 37-065-0003 Talbert Park 30 24.325 23.610 24.302 20.746 0.106 0.000 37-107-0004 Highway east 26 20.942 20.471 19.742 17.497 0.231 0.001 37-191-0005 Howell 28 22.297 21.984 20.481 19.033 0.429 0.026 37-191-0005 Devereau St. 27 22.535 21.616 20.275 18.378 0.019 0.002 Fayetteville 37 37-051-0005 Linkhaw 27 22.535< | 37-099-0006 | US Route 19 | 29 | 21.891 | 23.040 | 17.172 | 19.974 | 0.339 | 0.167 |
| 37-173-0002 37-021-0034Center St. Bingham28 3027.280 24.42222.771 23.48527.761 21.55719.899 19.1850.391 0.0210.354 0.000Greenville, Rocky Mount and Wilson (Down East)37-061-0002Kenansville27 22.11421.143 24.32522.850 23.61018.366 24.3020.178 20.7460.000 0.00037-105-0003Taibert Park30 24.32524.325 23.61024.302 24.30220.746 20.7460.106 0.000 0.00037-107-004Highway east 26 20.94220.471 20.42119.742 21.87817.497 19.0330.429 0.02137-107-005Howell 28 22.9723.664 22.76422.764 21.87819.033 19.0330.429 0.00037-117-0005Devereau St.29 23.66424.289 22.424020.527 20.5270.057 0.001 0.002Fayetteville 37-051-0009Raeford 11 st St.31 38 25.02624.289 24.289 24.40120.481 20.27523.269 18.3780.019 0.002NW Piedmont(Hickory) 37-035-00041st St.38 29 23.74523.720 23.74520.676 23.72019.421 20.6760.9431 0.943 0.0650.000 0.00337-111-0004Balwin 30 23.30622.553 22.55319.213 19.21317.694 0.065 0.00650.000 0.000137-129-0002Holly Shelter 23 23-19.71919.830 18.30818.138 17.020 17.6940.655 0.06550.005 0.001 0.00737-139-0002 | 37-121-0001 | City Hall Summit | 30 | 22.692 | 22.372 | 18.275 | 17.334 | 0.442 | 0.016 |
| 37-021-0034Bingham3024.42223.48521.55719.1850.0210.000Greenville, Rocky Mout and Wilson (Down East)37-061-0002Kenansville2722.11421.34322.85018.3660.1780.00037-065-0003Talbert Park3024.32523.61024.30220.7460.1060.00037-107-0004Highway east2620.94220.47119.74217.4970.2310.00137-147-0005Howell2822.29721.98420.48119.0330.4290.02637-191-005Devereau St.2923.66422.76421.87819.4250.0000.001FayettevilleT37-051-0009Raeford3125.02624.28922.44020.5270.0570.00137-155-0005Linkhaw2722.53521.61620.27518.3780.0190.002NW Piedmont(Hickory37-035-00041s St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.00337-111-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-129-002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-129-002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-129-002Holly Shelter2319.71919.830 | 37-173-0002 | Center St. | 28 | 27.280 | 22.771 | 27.761 | 19.899 | 0.391 | 0.354 |
| Greenville, Rocky Mount and Wilson (Down East) 37-061-0002 Kenansville 27 22.114 21.343 22.850 18.366 0.178 0.000 37-065-0003 Talbert Park 30 24.325 23.610 24.302 20.746 0.106 0.000 37-107-0004 Highway east 26 20.942 20.471 19.742 17.497 0.231 0.001 37-147-0005 Howell 28 22.297 21.984 20.481 19.033 0.429 0.026 37-191-0005 Devereau St. 29 23.664 22.764 21.878 19.425 0.000 0.001 Fayetteville 37-051-0009 Raeford 31 25.026 24.289 22.440 20.527 0.057 0.001 37-155-0005 Linkhaw 21 22.535 21.616 20.527 0.057 0.001 37-035-0004 1st St. 38 29.556 28.883 24.911 23.269 0.238 0.003 | 37-021-0034 | Bingham | 30 | 24.422 | 23.485 | 21.557 | 19.185 | 0.021 | 0.000 |
| 37-061-0002Kenansville2722.11421.34322.85018.3660.1780.00037-065-0003Talbert Park3024.32523.61024.30220.7460.1060.00037-107-0004Highway east2620.94220.47119.74217.4970.2310.00137-147-0005Howell2822.29721.98420.48119.0330.4290.02637-191-0005Devereau St.2923.66422.76421.87819.4250.0000.001Fayetteville37-051-0009Raeford3125.02624.28922.44020.5270.0570.00137-155-0005Linkhaw2722.53521.61620.27518.3780.0190.002NW Piedmont(Hickory)37-035-00041st St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.005Various areas37-071-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-119-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-139-0002Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.0 | Greenville, Rocky Mou | nt and Wilson (Down East) | | | | | | | |
| 37-065-0003Talbert Park3024.32523.61024.30220.7460.1060.00037-107-0004Highway east2620.94220.47119.74217.4970.2310.00137-147-0005Howell2822.29721.98420.48119.0330.4290.02637-191-0005Devereau St.2923.66422.76421.87819.4250.0000.001Fayetteville37-051-0009Raeford3125.02624.28922.44020.5270.0570.00137-155-0005Linkhaw2722.53521.61620.27518.3780.0190.002NW Piedmont(Hickory)37-035-00041st St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.00337-111-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00037-129-0002Market2420.65520.86819.16818.0940.3720.00737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-139-0003Jeffer | 37-061-0002 | Kenansville | 27 | 22.114 | 21.343 | 22.850 | 18.366 | 0.178 | 0.000 |
| 37-107-0004Highway east2620.94220.47119.74217.4970.2310.00137-147-0005Howell2822.29721.98420.48119.0330.4290.02637-191-0005Devereau St.2923.66422.76421.87819.4250.0000.001Fayetteville37-051-0009Raeford3125.02624.28922.44020.5270.0570.00137-155-0005Linkhaw2722.53521.61620.27518.3780.0190.002NW Piedmont(Hickory)37-035-00041st St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.003Various areas37-071-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-139-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-138-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | 37-065-0003 | Talbert Park | 30 | 24.325 | 23.610 | 24.302 | 20.746 | 0.106 | 0.000 |
| 37-147-0005 37-191-0005Howell28 2922.297 23.66421.984 22.76420.481 21.87819.033 19.4250.429 0.0000.001Fayetteville 37-051-000937-155-0005Raeford31 2722.026 22.53524.289 21.61622.440 20.27520.527 18.3780.057 0.0010.001NW Piedmont(Hickory) 37-035-00041st St.38 29 2929.556 23.74528.883 24.91124.911 23.26923.269 0.2380.003 0.003Various areas 37-071-0016East Garrison Balwin29 30 23.30623.720 22.55320.676 19.42119.421 0.9430.003 0.00337-1129-0002Holly Shelter Holly Shelter23 23 23.30619.719 22.55319.818 29.83017.020 20.6550.005 0.00537-129-0009Market 24 24 20.65520.868 20.34219.168 23.44418.094 17.1600.372 0.07537-139-0002Westover St. 29 23.91023.098 23.99822.380 23.80020.680 20.6800.049 0.044 37-138-00010.032 Perry 26 20.09019.777 17.503 16.396 16.396 16.396 0.308 0.0040.076 | 37-107-0004 | Highway east | 26 | 20.942 | 20.471 | 19.742 | 17.497 | 0.231 | 0.001 |
| 37-191-0005Devereau St.2923.66422.76421.87819.4250.0000.001Fayetteville 37-051-0009Raeford3125.02624.28922.44020.5270.0570.00137-155-0005Linkhaw2722.53521.61620.27518.3780.0190.002NW Piedmont(Hickory) 37-035-00041st St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.005Various areas | 37-147-0005 | Howell | 28 | 22.297 | 21.984 | 20.481 | 19.033 | 0.429 | 0.026 |
| Fayetteville37-051-0009 37-155-0005Raeford3125.026 21.61624.289 20.27522.440 20.27520.527 18.3780.007 0.00137-155-0005Linkhaw2722.53521.61620.27518.3780.0190.002NW Piedmont(Hickory) 37-035-000437-035-00041st St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.005Various areas37-071-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-111-0004Balwin3023.30622.55319.21317.6940.0650.00037-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-139-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-129-001Perry2620.09019.77717.50316.3960.3080.00737-139-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | 37-191-0005 | Devereau St. | 29 | 23.664 | 22.764 | 21.878 | 19.425 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| 37-051-0009 37-155-0005Raeford3125.026 2724.289 22.53522.440 20.27520.527 18.3780.057 0.00137-155-0005Linkhaw2722.53521.61620.27518.3780.0190.002NW Piedmont(Hickory) 37-035-000437-035-00041st St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.005Various areas37-071-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-111-0004Balwin3023.30622.55319.21317.6940.0650.00037-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-129-0009Market2420.65520.86819.16818.0940.3720.00737-133-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-132-0001Perry2620.09019.77717.50316.3960.3080.00737-189-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | Fayetteville | | | | | | | | |
| 37-155-0005Linkhaw2722.53521.61620.27518.3780.0190.002NW Piedmont(Hickory) 37-035-00041st St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.005Various areas37-071-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-111-0004Balwin3023.30622.55319.21317.6940.0650.00037-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-139-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-123-0001Perry2620.09019.77717.50316.3960.3080.00737-189-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | 37-051-0009 | Raeford | 31 | 25.026 | 24.289 | 22.440 | 20.527 | 0.057 | 0.001 |
| NW Piedmont(Hickory) 37-035-00041st St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.005Various areas37-071-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-111-0004Balwin3023.30622.55319.21317.6940.0650.00037-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-139-0009Market2420.65520.86819.16818.0940.3720.00737-133-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-123-0001Perry2620.09019.77717.50316.3960.3080.00737-189-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | 37-155-0005 | Linkhaw | 27 | 22.535 | 21.616 | 20.275 | 18.378 | 0.019 | 0.002 |
| 37-035-00041st St.3829.55628.88324.91123.2690.2380.005Various areas37-071-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-111-0004Balwin3023.30622.55319.21317.6940.0650.00037-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-139-0009Market2420.65520.86819.16818.0940.3720.00737-133-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-123-0001Perry2620.09019.77717.50316.3960.3080.00737-189-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | NW Piedmont(Hickory |) | | | | | | | |
| Various areas37-071-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-111-0004Balwin3023.30622.55319.21317.6940.0650.00037-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-129-0009Market2420.65520.86819.16818.0940.3720.00737-133-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-123-0001Perry2620.09019.77717.50316.3960.3080.00737-189-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | 37-035-0004 | 1st St. | 38 | 29.556 | 28.883 | 24.911 | 23.269 | 0.238 | 0.005 |
| 37-071-0016East Garrison2923.74523.72020.67619.4210.9430.00337-111-0004Balwin3023.30622.55319.21317.6940.0650.00037-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-129-0009Market2420.65520.86819.16818.0940.3720.00737-139-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-123-0001Perry2620.09019.77717.50316.3960.3080.00737-189-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | Various areas | | | | | | | | |
| 37-111-0004Balwin3023.30622.55319.21317.6940.0650.00037-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-129-0009Market2420.65520.86819.16818.0940.3720.00737-133-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-123-0001Perry2620.09019.77717.50316.3960.3080.00737-189-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | 37-071-0016 | East Garrison | 29 | 23.745 | 23.720 | 20.676 | 19.421 | 0.943 | 0.003 |
| 37-129-0002Holly Shelter2319.71919.83018.13817.0200.6550.00537-129-0009Market2420.65520.86819.16818.0940.3720.00737-133-0005Henderson2520.59820.34218.44417.1600.4530.01737-139-0002Westover St.2923.91023.09822.38020.6800.0490.00437-123-0001Perry2620.09019.77717.50316.3960.3080.00737-189-0003Jefferson3022.62422.34417.90717.2050.5140.076 | 37-111-0004 | Balwin | 30 | 23.306 | 22.553 | 19.213 | 17.694 | 0.065 | 0.000 |
| 37-129-0009 Market 24 20.655 20.868 19.168 18.094 0.372 0.007 37-133-0005 Henderson 25 20.598 20.342 18.444 17.160 0.453 0.017 37-139-0002 Westover St. 29 23.910 23.098 22.380 20.680 0.049 0.004 37-123-0001 Perry 26 20.090 19.777 17.503 16.396 0.308 0.007 37-189-0003 Jefferson 30 22.624 22.344 17.907 17.205 0.514 0.076 | 37-129-0002 | Holly Shelter | 23 | 19.719 | 19.830 | 18.138 | 17.020 | 0.655 | 0.005 |
| 37-133-0005 Henderson 25 20.598 20.342 18.444 17.160 0.453 0.017 37-139-0002 Westover St. 29 23.910 23.098 22.380 20.680 0.049 0.004 37-123-0001 Perry 26 20.090 19.777 17.503 16.396 0.308 0.007 37-189-0003 Jefferson 30 22.624 22.344 17.907 17.205 0.514 0.076 | 37-129-0009 | Market | 24 | 20.655 | 20.868 | 19.168 | 18.094 | 0.372 | 0.007 |
| 37-139-0002 Westover St. 29 23.910 23.098 22.380 20.680 0.049 0.004 37-123-0001 Perry 26 20.090 19.777 17.503 16.396 0.308 0.007 37-189-0003 Jefferson 30 22.624 22.344 17.907 17.205 0.514 0.076 | 37-133-0005 | Henderson | 25 | 20.598 | 20.342 | 18.444 | 17.160 | 0.453 | 0.017 |
| 37-123-0001 Perry 26 20.090 19.777 17.503 16.396 0.308 0.007 37-189-0003 Jefferson 30 22.624 22.344 17.907 17.205 0.514 0.076 | 37-139-0002 | Westover St. | 29 | 23,910 | 23,098 | 22,380 | 20,680 | 0.049 | 0.004 |
| 37-189-0003 Jefferson 30 22.624 22.344 17.907 17.205 0.514 0.076 | 37-123-0001 | Perry | 26 | 20.090 | 19.777 | 17.503 | 16.396 | 0.308 | 0.007 |
| | 37-189-0003 | Jefferson | 30 | 22.624 | 22.344 | 17.907 | 17.205 | 0.514 | 0.076 |

^a The DVC values are based on the max of the observed 24-average PM_{2.5} concentrations at each site during 2000–2004.

| 12^{-111} | Number of sites i | that have differences i | in 12-km R | RFs compared | to 4-km |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|

| Magnitude of RRF difference (%) | 2009 | 2018 | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| (a) Max 8 h O ₃ | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| <1 | 21 | 11 | | | | | |
| 1-2 | 15 | 11 | | | | | |
| 2-3 | 7 | 5 | | | | | |
| >3 | 7 | 23 | | | | | |
| (b) 24 h average PM _{2.5} | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| <1 | 4 | 0 | | | | | |
| 1-3 | 15 | 1 | | | | | |
| 3–5 | 12 | 3 | | | | | |
| >5 | 5 | 33 | | | | | |

effectiveness in controlling $PM_{2.5}$ and O_3 levels in NC in 2009 and 2018. The impact of horizontal grid resolution on simulated O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$ and their policy implications are assessed. The planned emission control strategy will reduce O_3 levels by up to 22.5% in July 2009/2018 from its level in 2002. It will, however, cause 3.7% and 5.3% increase in the max 1-h O_3 and 7.3% and 11.2% increase in the max 8-h O_3 in 2009 and 2018, respectively, due to the VOC-limited O_3 chemistry in winter in the southeastern U.S. While the simulated max 1-h and 8-h O_3 mixing ratios in 2009 and 2018 are relatively insensitive to horizontal grid resolution, the simulated concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and its components, visibility indices, and dry and wet deposition amounts in 2009/2018 are highly sensitive to horizontal grid resolution. Such sensitivity or insensitivity is expected due to different levels of dependence on parameters/ processes that are affected by horizontal grid resolution.

The U.S. EPA's modeled attainment test shows that the projected emission control for simulations at 4-km will reduce the number of sites in non-attainment for max 8-h O₃ from 49 to 23 in 2009 and to 1 in 2018 and for 24-h average PM_{2.5} from 1 to 0 in 2009 and 2018 based on the latest 2008 O3 and 2006 PM2.5 NAAQSs. 23 sites out of 50 sites have >3% differences in RRFs for max 8-h O₃ in 2018. The differences in max 8-h O₃ RRFs translate to an average difference of 1–3.8 ppb at 23 sites in 2009 and 1.1–6.0 ppb at 31 sites in 2018. generally consistent with results from Arunachalam et al. (2006). 12 and 5 sites have 3-5% and >5% differences, respectively, in 24-h average $PM_{2.5}$ RRFs in 2009 and 3 and 33 sites have 3-5% and >5%differences in 2018. The differences in 24-h average PM2.5 RRFs translate to an average difference of $1.1-4.5 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$ at 6 sites in 2009 and 1.1–7.9 μ g m⁻³ at 32 sites in 2018. The Student's *t*-test shows that simulated 8-h max O₃ is insensitive but simulated 24-h average PM_{2.5} is guite sensitive to horizontal grid resolution, indicating potential differences in daily PM2.5 SIPs developed based on results at 4- and 12-km. Note that the sensitivity to grid resolution may vary from one region to another. Whether to use 4-km or 12-km for SIP modeling depends on such sensitivity studies and the availability of computational resources for simulations at a finer scale.

In addition to emission controls, meteorological variables and their changes will affect future air quality predictions. For example, meteorological dispersion rates will affect the sensitivity of O_3 to its precursors, NO_x , and VOCs (Sillman et al., 1990). Temperature, humidity, and clouds have impacts on PM formation (Zhang et al., 2008; Leung and Gustafson, 2005; Hogrefe et al., 2004; Tagaris et al., 2007). The impact of climate change is not included in the future-year simulations conducted in this work. The differences in climate between 2009/2018 and 2002 may not be sufficiently robust to demonstrate the changes in climate and their impact on simulated air quality that would typically require a longer time frame (e.g., 30–50) years between 2030 and 2050 and 2000). Such an impact along with the impact of emission changes should be evaluated in future study.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Division of Air Quality, the USDA Air Quality Program/National Research Initiative Competitive Grant no. 2008-35112-18758, and the National Science Foundation Career Award Atm-0348819. Thanks are due to Mike Abraczinskas, Chris Misenis, Wayne Cornelius, Karen Harris, and Hoke Kimball of NCDENR for providing VISTAS's 12-km CMAQ inputs and results and observational data; Don Olerud, BAMS, for providing VISTAS's 12-km MM5 results.

References

Arunachalam, S., Holland, A., Do, B., Abraczinskas, M., 2006. A quantitative assessment of the influence of grid resolution on predictions of future-year air quality in North Carolina, USA. Atmospheric Environment 40, 5010–5026.

- Hogrefe, C., Lynn, B., Civerolo, K., Ku, J.-Y., Rosenthal, J., Rosenzweig, C., Goldberg, R., Gaffin, S., Knowlton, K., Kinney, P.L., 2004. Simulating changes in regional air quality over the eastern United States due to changes in global and regional climate and emissions. Journal of Geophysical Research 109, D22301. doi:10.1029/2004JD004690.
- Jacob, D.J., Horowitz, L.W., Munger, J.W., Heikes, B.G., Dicherson, R.R., Artz, R.S., Keene, W.C., 1995. Seasonal transition from NO_x⁻ to hydrocarbon-limited conditions for ozone production over the eastern United States in September. Journal of Geophysical Research 100, 9315–9324.
- Leung, L.R., Gustafson, W.I., 2005. Potential regional climate change and implications to US air quality. Geophysical Research Letters 32, L16711. doi:10.1029/ 2005GL022911.
- Liao, H., Chen, W.-T., Seinfeld, J.H., 2006. Role of climate change in global predictions of future tropospheric ozone and aerosols. Journal of Geophysical Research 111, D12304. doi:10.1029/2005JD006852.
- Liu, X.-H., Y. Zhang, J. Xing, Q. Zhang, D.G. Streets, C.J. Jang, W.-X. Wang, and J.-M. Hao. Understanding of regional air pollution over China using CMAQ: – Part II. Process analysis and ozone sensitivity to precursor emissions, Atmospheric Environment, in press a, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.035.
- Liu, X.-H., Zhang, Y., Olsen, K., Wang, W.-X., Do, B., Bridgers, G. Responses of future air quality to emission controls over North Carolina, Part I: Model evaluation for current-year simulations, Atmospheric Environment, in press b, doi:10.1016/j. atmosenv.2010.04.002.
- Meng, Z., Dabdub, D., Seinfeld, J.H., 1997. Chemical coupling between atmospheric ozone and particulate matter. Science 277, 116–119.
- Pinder, R.W., Gilliland, A.B., Dennis, R.L., 2008. Environmental impact of atmospheric NH₃ emissions under present and future conditions in the eastern United States. Geophysical Research Letters 35, L12808. doi:10.1029/ 2008GL033732.
- Queen, A., Zhang, Y., 2008. Examining the sensitivity of MM5-CMAQ predictions to explicit microphysics schemes and horizontal grid resolutions, Part III-The impact of horizontal grid resolution. Atmospheric Environment 42, 3869–3881.
- Sillman, S., 1995. The use of NO_y , H_2O_2 , and HNO_3 as indicators for ozone- NO_x -hydrocarbon sensitivity in urban locations. Journal of Geophysical Research 100, 14175–14188.
- Sillman, S., Logan, J.A., Wofsy, S.C., 1990. The sensitivity of ozone to nitrogen-oxides and hydrocarbons in regional ozone episodes. Journal of Geophysical Research 95, 1837–1851.
- Tagaris, E.K., Manomaiphiboon, K., Liao, K.J., Leung, L.R., Woo, J.-H., He, S., Amar, P., Russell, G., 2007. Impacts of global climate change and emissions on regional ozone and fine particulate matter concentrations over the United States. Journal of Geophysical Research 112, D14312. doi:10.1029/2006JD008262.
- Tonnesen, G.S., Dennis, R.L., 2000. Analysis of radical propagation efficiency to assess ozone sensitivity to hydrocarbons and NO_x 2. Long-lived species as indicators of ozone concentration sensitivity. Journal of Geophysical Research 105, 9227–9241.
- Tsimpidi, A.P., Karydis, V.A., Pandis, S.N., 2007. Response of inorganic fine particulate matter to emission changes of sulfur dioxide and ammonia: the eastern United States as a case study. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association 57, 1489–1498.
- U.S. EPA, April, 2007. Guidance on the Use of models and Other Analyses for Demonstrating Attainment of Air Quality Goals for Ozone, PM2.5 and Regional Haze. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-454/B-07–002.
- Wu, S., Mickley, L.J., Leibensperger, E.M., Jacob, D.J., Rind, D., Streets, D.G., 2008a. Effects of 2000–2050 global change on ozone air quality in the United States. Journal of Geophysical Research 113, D06302. doi:10.1029/2007|D008917.
- Wu, S.-Y., Krishnan, S., Zhang, Y., Aneja, V.P., 2008b. Modeling atmospheric transport and fate of ammonia in North Carolina-Part I: evaluation of meteorological and chemical predictions. Atmospheric Environment 42, 3419–3436.
- Zhang, Y., Vijayaraghavan, K., Seigneur, C., 2005. Evaluation of three probing techniques in a three-dimensional air quality model. Journal of Geophysical Research 110, D02305. doi:10.1029/2004JD005248.
- Zhang, Y., Hu, X.-M., Leung, L.R., Gustafson Jr., W.I., 2008. Impacts of regional climate changes on biogenic emissions and air quality. Journal of Geophysical Research 113, D18310. doi:10.1029/2008JD009965.